FAN, TA'LIM VA AMALIYOT INTEGRATSIYASI

ISSN: 2181-1776(E) | SJIF 2023: 6.907

[1] Mohammad Gouse Galety,
[2] Emilda Josephine, [3] Anvar Sayfullayev, [4] Islom Mamatov

[1,3,4] Department of Computer Science and Educational, Samarkand International University of Technology, Samarkand, Uzbekistan
[2] Department of English, International Agriculture University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS IN COGNITIVE EDUCATION'S GROUNDWORK

Abstract

Studies of the brain and mind, especially those conducted in the last decade, have led to significant advances in our understanding of such critical concepts as learning, memory, intellect, and emotion, all of which have far-reaching consequences for the classroom. A new multidisciplinary subject termed cognitive education has emerged due to these efforts. Still, its potential and limitations have not yet been adequately addressed for it to be officially established as a field of study. This research was conducted to improve current clarity and expand comprehension of cognitive education by zeroing in on its foundational elements.

Keywords: Cognitive, Education, teaching, learning, Knowledge Construction, quantitative, qualitative

Introduction

What is cognitive education? Do academics study this? What is it, how did it develop, and how is it learned? There are probably many more questions like this for which we don't have conclusive answers based on existing research. This research endeavours to increase transparency and comprehension by zeroing in on some central features of this emerging field and elaborating on some critical facets of cognitive schooling. Research into the mind and brain's functions, how humans use the brain and body to receive and retain new information, how the mind and brain grow and change, and how brain damage contributes to impairments and other issues is promising. The phrase "cognitive education" describes a style of instruction based on the results of

cognitive science (the study of the mind and brain) and emphasises teaching students how to learn most efficiently through systematically cultivating their cognitive abilities.

Jan Piaget, Leo Vigotsky, Jerome Bruner, and their many followers best explain cognitive schooling. Improve educational policies through understanding teaching and learning processes. Iran has schools that combine cognitive education (the study of the mind/brain) with education. Journals like Cognitive Education and Psychology and Mind, Brain, and Education publish research on this topic. Cognitive education encompasses quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Due to its multidisciplinary nature, study generally draws from psychology, neurology, linguistics, artificial intelligence, and philosophy. Cognitive education covers various academic disciplines because of its scientific foundation, history, and technique. Neuroscience, psychology, philosophy of mind, linguistics, and AI underpin cognitive education. Cognitive schooling has raised problems regarding the human mind. Therefore, any comprehensive approach must take into account its many linked elements. Thus, cognitive sciences may increase our theoretical and practical understanding of the brain, mind, and learning process, which would benefit the education business. This is why educators should influence cognitive science research. This article can help you understand cognitive education by reviewing its conceptual definition, historical past, research technique, and relevance to cognitive science.

Cognitive education research and its characteristics

Over the past decade, brain and mind research has revolutionised our understanding of education, memory, intelligence, and emotion. Classroom implications are significant. "Learning science," "mind, brain, and education," "cognitive education," and others have emerged from efforts to apply these insights. Haywood (2004) says all new initiatives are "cognitive education." because they can unify different instructional principles. Piaget and Vygotsky's cognitive development theories shaped the second half of the 20th century. These beliefs have taught us how much a child can learn at different ages. However, cognitive science research and theory are increasingly used in education to create novel learning environments, promote paradigm shifts, and reinforce training across various disciplines. Cognitive education (Sawyer (2006) calls it "learning science") seeks to understand the cognitive and social processes that lead to the best learning and apply this knowledge to the redesign of classrooms and other learning environments to help people learn more deeply and effectively. Cognitive educators create a technique and setting that values students' mastery of abstract ideas while giving them unmatched freedom to apply them. Scardamalia and Bereiter (2006) and Bereiter (2002) call it "Knowledge Building" or "Knowledge Creation," emphasising an iterative process of creativity, elaboration, and application. On-the-job learning is crucial for knowledge workers. Thus, the cognitive technique changes how we learn. The table below highlights their significant differences.

Table 1. Comparison of traditional and cognitive approaches (Bereiter, 2002

Cognitive Approach	Traditional Approach
knowledge construction	knowledge transmission

reasoning	memorisation
learner-centred	teacher-directed
collaborative	competitive
opportunistic	tightly scheduled
idea centred	fact centred

Therefore, the cognitive education canon of ideas, practice, and issues is a newer field. The connection between education and learning is mapped out by Sawyer (2006). Ashman and Conway (1997) at least touch on the topic of the relationship between motivation and learning; Bruner (1996) and Raeff and Bem (1997) identify the role of cognition in education; and Bruner (1996) and Raeff and Bem (1997) identify the part of motivation in learning.

Connections Between Classroom Instruction and Cognitive Research

Neuroscience, psychology, philosophy, computing, AI, and linguistics contribute to cognitive science, the study of the mind. "Cognitive Science and Education" by Glaser (1988) describes cognitive education as "a federation of psychology, linguistics, and computer science which offers a re-conceptualisation of the nature of the learning process and new approaches to the investigation of mental functioning." Cognitive science studies perception thought, and learning, making it relevant to education (OECD, 2007; p. 252). Before the "cognitive revolution," cognitive science researchers were far from classrooms and ignored. However, decades after the cognitive revolution have revealed fresh insights into human cognition, memory, and meta-cognition (Metcalfe & Kornell, 2007). Cognitive scientists are increasingly working with teachers to test their theories in classrooms (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2001). Teachers should have multiple perspectives on education issues. They may be proficient in a few subject areas. However, they should have enough understanding of adjacent subjects to contribute to crucial topic conversations (Gardner, 2009). However, cognitive scientists and educators must collaborate and interact to expand our knowledge of the cognitive sciences as a foundation for teaching. Brain and mind science integration into instructional practices opens dialogue with educators (Pickering & Howard-Jones, 2007). "The Language Game," Ludwig Wittgenstein's legacy, is essential for cross-cultural communication. This is especially significant when bridging disciplines and communicating neurological issues in regular English. Education has much to give cognitive science and vice versa. Sprenger (2003) suggests studying how educators evaluate their students' learning practices to determine which are most beneficial for long-term retention.

Furthermore, classrooms provide ecologically realistic environments that can validate or refute findings from neuroscience labs (Fischer et al., 2007). Therefore, once a hypothesis in psychology has been proven in neuroscience, researchers should look for similar evidence in education (Johnson, Chang, & Lord, 2006). According to OECE (2002), there needs to be a significant link between education and other cognitive sciences.

This forum has three goals: (a) to encourage creative discussion among experts in cognitive neuroscience, psychology, education, and policy; (b) to understand better how cognitive science can improve education and educational policy; and (c) to

identify areas where education could benefit from input from other disciplines in the study of human learning. Educational practitioners and researchers must actively contribute to future cognitive science research because cognitive science can change our understanding of the brain, mind, and learning and benefit educators and educationists.

Methodological challenges in cognitive education research.

The field of cognitive education encompasses a wide range of approaches, from quantitative to qualitative studies. Due to the highly multidisciplinary nature of the area, studies frequently include elements from many disciplines, such as psychology, neurology, linguistics, AI, and philosophy. However, there are many limitations we must work around while conducting research in the social and behavioural sciences because of the complexity of trying to understand, predict, and manage events that involve people. It's hard to keep an eye on subjects, it's hard to quantify their behaviour, and it's hard to make sure the research's findings are correct. The idea that current research requires intensive, statistics-based experimentation is one of the many myths faced by individuals in the education field. In actuality, the scientific method can be applied just as well to research conducted through surveys, interviews, and observation outside of a lab (Ashman & Conway, 1997). The apparent divide between what happens in universities in the name of research and what happens in the field in the name of education practice is a recurring theme in research critiques, regardless of motivation (Ashman & Conway, 1997). Three research types apply to cognitive education, as they are to any applied discipline. There is primary research, which seeks to define and characterise the phenomena or topic at hand. The decision-oriented study aims to determine what constitutes "best practice" and inform policy decisions.

Research-based innovation drives real-world improvement. "Research-based innovation" describes studies to improve the innovation process. The first two study categories are widely accepted in education, but the third is just starting to impact. The US National Academy of Education conducted a 1960s study to assess if research improved education. Two prominent education researchers (Cronbach & Suppes, 1970) recognised just the first two categories of study in their report, which Breiter and Scardamalia (2007) called "conclusion-oriented" and "decision-oriented" analysis. Researchers with innovative methods must address education's most significant issues immediately. Recently, research-based cognitive teaching strategies have emerged. Science by design, problem-based learning, constructionism, knowledge building, and online learning (Brown & Campione, 1996). This thinking approach is based on Dewey, Vygotsky, and Piaget's theories, which are considered procedural constructivist or social-constructivist learning. Innovators generally compare their approach to an archetypal conventional way (lecture, recitation, and seatwork) rather than to closer neighbours. If schooling is to grow, crucial and even essential distinctions within the social-constructivist family must be investigated (Bereiter & Scardamalia, 2003, 2006). Mental education is multidisciplinary. Thus researchers should know how to apply cognitive science research methods to education. Gardner (2009) says a good teacher "should at least understand the input from these various disciplines, synthesise it, and make a recommendation that could be defended to critical

experts and justified to an often sceptical public of students, teachers, principals, and parents." He expects educators can perform these disciplines in the future. Teachers should use a "multiple-perspective" approach, but professionals in the new field will need more. Unique mind, brain, and education (MBE) investigations must address biological, psychological, and pedagogical issues.

Conclusion

Son & Vandierendonck (2007), prominent cognitive science and education specialists, note that many general strategy training programmes teach cognitive techniques in specific academic material or without topic alignment. Houck (1993) thought curriculum-based learning was superior to the outside, but instruction and learning experiences should be balanced. Most models follow these ideas. Meditation helps students focus. This is crucial since learning builds on past skills. Instructional routines and thinking-aloud techniques make strategic thought models. Peer-mediated knowledge inspires and informs students by scaffolding. Ashman & Conway (1997) say immediate feedback can change children's problem-solving behaviours. In conclusion, the cognitive scientific underpinning of education has promising implications for educational theory and practice, but its educational principles have rarely been verified in real-world situations. Cognitive education scholars shifting to classroom-based research have three fundamental concerns, according to Ashman and Conway (1997).

References

1.Ashman, A., & Conway, R. (1997). An introduction to cognitive education: Theory and applications. London: Routledge.

2.Bereiter, C. (2002). Liberal education in a knowledge society. In B. Smith (Ed.), Liberal education in a knowledge society (pp. 11-33). Chicago: Open Court.

3.Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (2006). Education for the knowledge age: Design-centered models of teaching and instruction. In P. A. Alexander & P. H. Winne (Eds.), Handbook of educational psychology (pp. 695-711), Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

4.Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (2007). Toward research-based innovation. In Organization for Economic and Cooperation Development (OECD), & Center for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI) (Eds.), Models of learning and innovation (pp. 18-29). Paris: OECD.

5.Bransford, J., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.), (2001). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience and school. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

6.Brown, A., & Campione, J. (1996). Psychological theory and the design of innovative learning environments: On procedures, principles, and systems. In L. Schauble & R. Glaser (Eds.), Innovations in learning: New educational environments (pp. 289-325). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

7.Bruner, J. (1996). The Culture of Education. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

8.Case, R., & Okamoto, Y. (1996). The role of central conceptual structures in the development of children's thought. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 61(1-2), 246.

9.Evensen, D. H., & Hmelo, C. E. (Eds.) (2000). Problem-based learning: A research perspective on learning interactions. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

10.Fischer, K. W., & Daley, S. G. (2006). We connect cognitive science and neuroscience to education: Potentials and pitfalls in inferring executive processes. In L. Meltzer (Ed.), Understanding executive function: Implications and opportunities for the classroom (pp. 55–72). New York: Guilford.

11.Fischer, K. Daniel, D., Immordino-Yang, M. Stern, E., Battro, A., & Koizumi, H. (2007). Why mind, brain, and education? Why now? Mind, Brain, and Education, 1, 1-2.

12.Gardner, H. (2009). An education grounded in biology: Interdisciplinary and ethical considerations. Mind, Brain, and Education, 3(2), 68–73.

13.Glaser, R. (1988). Cognitive science and education. International Social Science Journal, 40(1), 21-44.

14.Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence. New York: Bantam Books.

Haywood, C. H. (2004). Thinking in, around, and about the curriculum: The role of cognitive education. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 51(3), 231-252.

15.Holbrook, J., & Kolodner, J. L. (2000). Scaffolding the development of an inquiry-based (science) Classroom. In B. Fishman & S. O'ConnorDivelbiss (Eds.), Fourth international conference of the learning sciences (pp. 221-227). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

16.Houck, C. K. (1993). Ellis's "Potential" integrative strategy instruction model: An appealing extension of previous efforts. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 26, 399-403.

17.Howard- Jones, P. (2010). Introducing neuroeducational research. Abingdon: Routledge.

18.Johnson, R. E., Chang, C. H., & Lord, R. G. (2006). Moving from cognition to behaviour: What the research says. Psychological Bulletin, 132(3), 381-415.

19.Johnson, R. E., Chang, C-H. D., & Lord, R. G. (2006). Moving from cognition to behaviour: What the research says. Psychological Bulletin, 132,381-415.

20.Kafai, Y. B. (2006). Constructionism. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (pp. 371-389). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

21.Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. (2006). Cognitive tutors: Technology bringing learning sciences to the classroom. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (pp. 61-77). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

22.Ledoux, J. (1996). The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.

23.Lee, H-S., & Songer, N. B. (2003). Making authentic science accessible to students. International Journal of Science Education, 25(8), 923-948.

24.Linn, M. C., Davis, E. A., & Bell, P. (Eds.), (2004). Internet environments for science education. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

25.Metcalfe, J., & Kornell, N. (2007). Principles of cognitive science in education: The effects of generation, errors, and feedback. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 14(2), 225-229.

26.Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Develop (OECD). (2002). Understanding the brain: Towards a new learning science. New Milford: OECD Publishing.

27.Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Develop (OECD). (2007). Understanding the brain: The birth of a new learning science. Paris: OECD Publishing.

28.Pickering, S. J., & Howard-Jones, P. (2007). Educators´ views on the role of neuroscience in education: Findings from a study of UK and international perspectives. Mind, Brain and Education, 1(3), 109-113.

29.Raeff, C., & Benson, J. (Eds), (2003). Social and cognitive development in the context of individual, social, and cultural processes. New York: Routledge.

30.Sawyer, K. R. (Ed.), (2006). The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

31.Scardamalia, M., & Bereiter, C. (2006). Fostering communities of learners and knowledge building: An interrupted dialogue. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

32.Son, L., & Vandierendonck A. (2007). Bridging cognitive science and education: Learning, memory and metacognition. London, UK: Psychology Press.

33.Sprenger, M. (2003). Differentiation through learning styles and memory. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press Incorporated